**Unit/Department Head Career Faculty Promotion Review Report**

This report is an important component of the Career Faculty Promotion dossier. The department head’s report is independent of the committee report and vote tally. The department or unit head report should include an explanation of the merits of the promotion case and a recommendation on the case. You should have the candidate’s dossier in hand as you write the report. Suggested length is <2 pages.

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

# *\*Use your departmental letterhead*

# To: <DEAN>

# From: <UNIT/DEPARTMENT HEAD, UNIT NAME>

# Re: Department Head’s Report for <CANDIDATE’S NAME>, Career Faculty Promotion

# Administrative Summary

* A brief explanation of the unit’s review process and any special considerations involved with the review.
* Clarification of any special conditions of the appointment or special duties and obligations for which the candidate’s performance is to be evaluated. Career faculty appointments vary widely in teaching, research, and/or service FTE, so the review should note this FTE breakdown.
* A summary of the committee composition, the committee report, and the committee’s recommendation and vote tally.
* A summary of the vote by eligible faculty members (if applicable), and a summary of any discussion, if they met.
* An explanation for any abstentions, recusals, and for the absence of votes from any committee members. Explain reasons why some faculty have not participated in the review and voting process (e.g. spouse, sabbatical leave, etc.).

# Department Head’s Independent Evaluation and Recommendation

The department head should objectively and honestly discuss the strengths and weaknesses of the candidate. For the teaching, research/creative activity, and service categories that are applicable to the faculty member’s appointment, the faculty member should be noted as meeting expectations, exceeding expectations, or not meeting expectations.

## Assess Candidate’s Research and Scholarship and/or Creative and Artistic Achievement (if applicable)

## Assess Candidate’s Teaching (if applicable)

## The head’s letter should address each of the four teaching competencies – professional, inclusive, engaged and research-informed – if the committee report does not do so. The head may provide additional commentary, as well as any department-level context of the instructor’s teaching performance. The evidence used for this evaluation must include at least one recent peer review of teaching and Student Experience Survey data. Evidence in this section may also be based on other materials provided by the candidate in their statement or teaching portfolio, such as syllabi, lists of dissertation and thesis advising, independent study supervision, Instructor Self Reflection reports, training undertaken, new course preparation list, curricular planning activities, and so on.

## Assess Candidate’s Service (if applicable)

## Assess Candidate’s Professional Development Activity (if applicable)

## Assess Candidate’s Contributions to DEI (if applicable)

## Faculty are free to determine how they engage in diversity, equity, and inclusion via their teaching, research, and service (as applicable). Your assessment of DEI engagement may be incorporated into the above categories instead.

## Other Comments

## Conclusion and recommendation

Provide an overall conclusion of whether the faculty member meets expectations, exceeds expectations, or does not meet expectations.

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Unit/Department Head Signature Date

If required by unit policy:

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Candidate Signature Date